



THE LONDON BOROUGH
www.bromley.gov.uk

**RENEWAL AND RECREATION
POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

BECKENHAM TOWN CENTRE WORKING GROUP

Meeting to be held at **7.30 pm** on **Thursday 27 October 2016** at
Beckenham Library, Beckenham, BR3 4PE*

***PLEASE NOTE STARTING TIME**

AGENDA

- 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
- 2 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Pages 1 - 12)**
- 3 MATTERS ARISING (Pages 13 - 16)**
- 4 MAJOR SCHEME UPDATE**
- 5 LOCATION OF PURPLE FLAG**
- 6 UPDATE ON ALBEMARLE ROAD / HIGH STREET JUNCTION SCHEME**
- 7 BECKENHAM PLACE PARK UPDATE**
- 8 UPDATE ON PLAQUES FROM THE BECKENHAM SOCIETY**
- 9 TOWN TEAM UPDATE**
- 10 DAVID BOWIE MURAL UPDATE**
- 11 TERMS OF REFERENCE (Pages 17 - 18)**
- 12 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**
- 13 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The date of the next meeting is to be confirmed.

Contact Officer:

Stephen Wood
LBB Democratic Services Manager
20 October 2016
020 8313 4316
stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk

RENEWAL AND RECREATION POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

BECKENHAM TOWN CENTRE WORKING GROUP

Minutes of the meeting held at 7.30 pm on 15 September 2016

Present:

Councillor Michael Tickner (Chairman)
Councillor Ian Dunn
Councillor Russell Mellor
Councillor Sarah Phillips
Councillor Stephen Wells

Marsha Berg, (Beckenham Business Association)
Alan Old, (Copers Cope Area Resident's Association)
Marie Pender, (West Beckenham Residents Association)
Janice Pilgrim, Kent Association for the Blind
Chloe-Jane Ross, (Copers Cope Area Residents Association)
David Wood, (Beckenham Civic Society)
Stephen Wood, (Committee Secretary)
Kevin Munnely, (LBB Head of Renewal)
Stephen Oliver, (LBB Project Planner)
Martin Pinnell, (LBB Head of Town Centre Management)

Also present:

Vishit Patel, (The Beckenham Society)
Tina Slater, (Environment & Community Services)
Garry Warner, Environment & Community Services (LBB Head of Highway Network Management)
Nick Goy, (Beckenham Resident)
Lisa Allen, (LBB Traffic and Road Safety Team)
Angus Culverwell, (LBB Head of Traffic and Road Safety)
Dan Pearce, (Local Artist)
Derek Samson, (FM Conway)
Tom McConnell, (Senior Contracts Manager-FM Conway)
Robert Straker (Local Resident)

70	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
	Apologies were received from Dr John Parker. Apologies were also received from Jackie Groundsell, Cllr Vanessa Allen, and Gail Low.

71	MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 30TH JUNE 2016
	<p>It had been noted that Mr Nick Goy had suggested some amendments to the minutes of the meeting held on 30th June 2016. These had been accepted by the Committee Clerk and the Chairman.</p> <p>Subject to these amendments, the minutes were agreed as a correct record.</p>
72	MATTERS ARISING
	<p>Members noted the Matters Arising report.</p> <p>Members noted the comprehensive update that had been provided from Post Office Counters Ltd, concerning the move of the Post Office in Beckenham from its previous site to the new location inside WH Smith's in the High Street.</p> <p>Opinion was divided concerning this. A member stated that he had no problems when visiting the new location, and got to the counter straight away. Another member expressed the view that the area was cluttered, and that Smith's had not done anything to improve the shop. She made the comment that there were only two standard post office counters and a foreign exchange till.</p> <p>The Chairman asked what the responses had been concerning the paving consultation. Stephen Oliver clarified that the favourite colour was brindle; Mr Goy expressed the view that the colours were similar, and were no improvement on the current provision. Tom McConnell (FM Conway) stated that the colour of the paving was the responsibility of the Council. Janice Pilgrim commented that she would prefer the use of bright tactile paving as much as possible to aid the blind and partially sighted. The colours of tactile paving are specified by government guidelines, with different colours being assigned for different types of crossing. KAB would therefore only recommend those particular colours, in order to adhere to the guidelines and avoid confusion among sight impaired people.</p> <p>The Chairman stated that any matters relating to planting would be discussed under the separate agenda item of Trees, and that Martin Pinnell would provide a separate update later concerning the BID.</p> <p>The Chairman and the Group expressed dismay at the proposal to locate the Purple Flag at Thornton's Corner. Mr Pinnell advised the Chairman to make representations to the Portfolio Holder concerning this. The Group were unanimous that the Purple Flag should be sited in the vicinity of Beckenham Junction Train Station. Mr Pinnell requested that he be copied in to any email that resolved</p>

	<p>to change the location of the Purple Flag.</p> <p>RESOLVED that:</p> <p>(1) The Chairman make representations to the Portfolio Holder concerning the location of the Purple Flag</p> <p>(2) The Group would prefer to see the Purple Flag located in the vicinity of Beckenham Junction Station</p> <p>(3) Martin Pinnell to be copied in to any resolution pertaining to a change of location for the Purple Flag</p>
73	MAJOR SCHEME UPDATE
	<p>The introduction to the Major Scheme update was provided by Mr Kevin Munnely, and then Stephen Oliver went into more detail later around the Plan drawings.</p> <p>It was noted that a revised bid for funding had been re-submitted to TfL, and that the total value of the programme was now £4.4m. A report was going to the Renewal and Recreation PDS Committee on 20th September for scrutiny. This was to be followed by the report being presented to the Executive on 18th October for final decision. The full title of the report was 'Beckenham Public Realm Improvements, Design and Budget Sign off'. Any notes or comments from the PDS Committee would be notified to the Executive. The Executive had been recommended to approve the design and the final funding arrangements. It was also recommended that FM Conway be commissioned to carry out the work.</p> <p>It was hoped that orders for materials could be placed during September 2016, and that capital works could commence in January 2017. The work should take no longer than 15 months. The Chairman asked why the matter had not been referred to an earlier agenda. Mr Munnely was hopeful that preliminary work could commence in December 2016. Preliminary works would consist of things like electrical cabling.</p> <p>Nick Goy asked if a compensation scheme was in place to assist traders that may suffer financially as a result of the improvement works; he feared that some traders could go out of business. Mr Munnely stated that LBB and FM Conway would seek to manage the situation so that disruption was minimised. He acknowledged the importance of proper communications, and in successfully managing relationships with businesses and traders. He felt that lessons had been learnt from the past, and it was a bonus that on this occasion no water pipes would require replacing. No compensation scheme was in place as the project was for the 'betterment' of the area. It was the case that businesses could apply to the Valuation Office for</p>

a business rate reduction. It was clarified that the Valuation Office was part of the Inland Revenue, and not part of the Council.

Tina Slater clarified that there was an allowance in the budget for a Project Liaison role. It was anticipated that this would be the same person that had undertaken the role previously on the Bromley North project. Marsha Berg asked if signs could be provided indicating that business was being carried on as usual. FM Conway confirmed that targeted signage would be utilised where appropriate. Ms Berg also requested that works machinery be deployed in such a manner that access to business premises would not be blocked. The Chairman asked where FM Conway's depot would be located. The location that had provisionally been identified was St George's Road Car Park.

Chloe Jane Ross enquired what the project strategy would be. Would there be complete road closures so that the project could complete sooner, or would it be a longer project with partial road closures? FM Conway stated that the strategy could vary, and Mr Munnelly added that consideration would have to be given to linking in work being undertaken by TfL.

Mr Vishit Patel asked if there was going to be a site supervisor for Design. Mr Munnelly clarified that East would provide a design interface with FM Conway, which was especially important when working around listed buildings. Gary Warner added that there would be an LBB Clerk of Works. Mr Oliver explained to the Group that safety audits had been undertaken and the data fed into the latest drawings. It was also the case that many new trees were planned, and that pavements had been widened at junctions.

Mr Oliver referred to the Albemarle Road/Rectory Road plan drawing. It was noted that there were not many changes to this, apart from the new trees planned for Beckenham Green. At the junction of Albemarle Road, Rectory Road, High Street and Southend Road, the turning circle for vehicles had been widened.

Mr Goy referred to pedestrian islands, and expressed the view that new square edged pedestrian islands were a 'fad' and more likely to cause vehicle damage. The Chairman asked for confirmation of the height of the pedestrian refuge which was confirmed as 150mils (6inches). Mr Gary Warner stated that the corners of the pedestrian islands were not square and that they would not cause more damage to vehicles. Mr Munnelly felt that the new pedestrian islands added design quality in keeping with the overall design. Mr Angus Culverwell stated that the new pedestrian islands were more appropriate for a newly developed town centre, and that there were no adverse safety implications.

Marsha Berg referenced the bell bollard, and asked about colours. It was noted that the bell bollard could be black or green, with possibly a yellow stripe. Mr Robert Straker felt that as more traffic lights were being deployed, there was no longer a requirement for bell bollards. Janice Pilgrim commented that the bell bollards at a number of junctions would need to be in a colour that contrasted highly with the surrounding pavement so that sight impaired people could distinguish them and avoid collision with them.

Mr Goy drew attention to the new bench that was proposed for outside of Beckenham Junction Station, and expressed the view that it could be obstructive. Mr Munnely replied that the purpose of the bench was to encourage pedestrians to use the main crossing points.

The Group looked at the plan drawings for St George's Church junction. The Group heard that traffic modelling had been undertaken with respect to the proposed changes, and no significant impact had been found. Some members of the Group disputed this, especially in instances where they felt that traffic flow would be impacted by lorries and buses parking. Cllr Dunn asked how much was traffic coming down the High Street likely to be reduced. Mr Munnely stated that the amount of traffic reduction was not huge. It was the case that as well as reducing traffic flows, the primary aim of the plans for this area was to create a safer and generally improved pedestrian environment.

The Chairman enquired if HGV traffic flows could be directed using different road surface colours. The response from FM Conway to this was 'No' as the roads would just be black. Mr Goy was concerned that widening pavements would result in reduced road widths; he was also opposed to the idea of moving the traffic islands, and that in future it would mean having to wait for both lanes to clear. Mr Wood responded that it would just be a case of waiting for the 'Green Man'. Cllr Wells asked if trees could be planted outside Lloyds Bank. Mr Oliver responded that the safety audit suggested that this was not a good location as sight lines to the traffic signals would be hindered; tree locations were still being investigated.

Mr Wood referenced Church Avenue, and the proposal to open it to two way traffic. He suggested that this could be a permanent change as it was already being used for illegal two way driving. Cllr Wells and Mr Culverwell were opposed to this, and were concerned that if this was allowed, Church Avenue would become a 'rat run'.

The Group turned their attention to the plan drawings for **Thornton's Corner**. It was agreed that the existing two trees could be taken out to plant a row of new elm trees. Traffic modelling had shown that the proposed developments for this area had shown no significant

adverse impacts on traffic flow.

The Group referenced the old toilet block at the southern end of Thornton's Corner by the gardens. Some members were hopeful that the toilet block could be re-opened, but it was noted that this was not something that was part of the Major Scheme. Mr Oliver had written to the Beckenham Society concerning this. The proposed pavement widenings had been traffic modelled, and were found to have no adverse effect on traffic flows. The Group heard that at the junction with High Street and Kelsey Park Road it was proposed to plant two new birch trees.

The Group looked at the plan drawings for '**High Street**' and '**Fairfield Road**'. It was noted that three new trees were planned, as was a new zebra crossing to replace the traffic island that had been removed. Mr David Wood expressed a dislike for zebra crossings in a Conservation Area. He cited the example of Kensington where a 'shared space' was in operation, without zebra crossings. It was noted that the bus stop would be installed on a raised pavement, and that a bus shelter would also be erected. The Chairman asked if a request to TfL for a 'countdown unit' could be made. The view was expressed that TfL were not installing any more new countdown units, so this would need to be investigated.

Mr Goy expressed the view that on the plan drawing, it looked like the queue for the bus stop would face the wrong way; he also expressed opposition to shared use parking. Gary Warner stated that to distinguish parking and loading bays, changes in textures and colours would be used. Cllr Wells stated that he could not see a loading bay on the drawing, and that loading bays had to be deeper and wider than standard parking bays. He was concerned that businesses should benefit from the correct number of loading bays, and this was something he requested be looked into.

Members turned their attention to the plan drawing for Kelsey Square. It was explained that the existing traffic island near the junction of High Street and Village Way would be removed and replaced with a zebra crossing. The existing crossing outside of HSBC would be retained. It had been decided that kerbing going into the mews was not required. The Bowie lightning bolt outside of Zizi's was still planned; illuminated from above. The radial at the junction of High Street and Village Way remained unchanged. The Group noted the new trees that were planned.

The Group studied the plan drawing for the area of the High Street adjoining The Drive and Sainsbury's. It was noted that a new zebra crossing was planned for the High Street, adjacent to Sainsbury's forecourt. The Group were informed that new trees were to be planted at the junction of Village Way and High Street. It was noted that a 'table' crossing was to be installed at the junction of High

Street and Burrell Row. The catenary lighting originally planned for Sainsbury's forecourt would not be retained as part of the Scheme due to maintenance costs.

Mr Culverwell commented that zebra crossings in general could contribute to traffic delays, and it was difficult to model for pedestrian flows. It was asked if belisha beacons were required at crossings, and the answer to this was yes. Mr Culverwell asked when it had been decided to add additional crossings, and the Chairman responded that they had been required by the safety audit. It was noted that zebra crossings were utilised instead of light controlled crossings to save on costs. A difference of opinion was expressed concerning the use of zebra crossings.

Members of the Group expressed concern about buses and possible traffic delays occurring either when buses had broken down, or when trying to pass each other with limited space. Mr Munnelly stated that the design parameters should allow for buses to pass each other. Carriageway width would be wider than was the case in the High Street at Bromley North.

Marie Pender asked that the paving for Regal Alley go back all the way into the car park, and for a drain repair to be undertaken. She also asked if consideration could be applied to erecting a temporary bollard to prohibit entry into the alley while the works were being undertaken. It had previously been agreed that the drop kerb would be removed in the High Street adjacent to this alley entrance. Vehicles illegally using the alley would be monitored and a bollard will be installed if necessary.

The final plan drawing to be considered was the one for the War Memorial Roundabout. The proposed drawing had been remodelled - improvements were not significant. Outside the Odeon, the traffic island would be moved to prohibit right turns out of the cinema. The Odeon car park would be resurfaced, and a new bench in the shape of a large 'O' would be installed. The changes would benefit Odeon, and their response had been positive. Cllr Wells was glad to see that no guard rails were on the plans.

It was noted that the pedestrian islands on the zebra crossings would be widened, and that entry onto the roundabout from the junctions would still be a double vehicle width.

Opinion was divided concerning the possible removal of the wall around the war memorial, although there were more in favour of removing the wall rather than keeping it. It was not clear what the replacement would be if the wall was removed; a rethink was required concerning this. It was noted that a new tree was going to be planted on the centre of the roundabout. This could possibly be an oak tree; Chloe Jane-Ross expressed a preference for a sweet

	<p>chestnut tree.</p> <p>Mr Goy asked if the loading bays outside of Dean's Garage would be retained. Mr Oliver responded that this was the case, but that it was not part of the Scheme anyway.</p> <p>RESOLVED that:</p> <p>(1) FM Conway erect targeted signage as appropriate</p> <p>(2) At Thornton's Corner, the existing two trees should be removed and a new row of eight Elm trees be planted</p> <p>(3) Enquiries be made to TfL to see if a 'countdown unit' could be installed with the new bus shelter</p> <p>(4) Checks be made to ensure that an adequate number of loading bays be incorporated into the Scheme</p> <p>(5) Consideration be made concerning what would replace the wall on the War Memorial roundabout if it was removed</p>
74	POTENTIAL TREES FOR MAJOR SCHEME
	<p>The Group studied the document that showed details of the various trees that were proposed for different areas of the Scheme. Mr Richard Straker asked who was going to look after the new trees. He expressed the view that from his own personal experience, maintenance agreements with contractors for trees were not working. It was the case that a new tree would require regular watering for the first two years of its life. It was clarified that the contractor would have to replace any tree that died. It was noted that tree pit specifications had been agreed with the tree officer.</p>
75	PARKING BAY UPDATE
	<p>The parking Bay update was provided by Angus Culverwell and Lisa Allen. Mr Culverwell asked for clarification of the specific question that required answering. The Chairman stated that the reason that Mr Culverwell had been asked to attend was to provide clarification on where exactly the loading bays would be located on the Scheme. The Chairman felt that the current number of loading bays was inadequate, and that more were required to assist businesses. This was a sentiment echoed by Marsha Berg of the Beckenham Business Association. Mr Munnely commented that loading bays had been factored in, and that issues had been addressed. Mr Culverwell stated that he was not convinced that more loading bays were required. Ms Berg responded that 'Hammonds' in Beckenham Road required a loading bay.</p>

	<p>The Chairman asked that a map of proposed new loading bays be sent to the Beckenham Business Association. Mr Munnelly stated that there would be no net loss of parking.</p> <p>RESOLVED that a map of the proposed new parking bays be sent to the Beckenham Business Association.</p>
<p>76</p>	<p>BID UPDATE</p>
	<p>The BID update was provided by Martin Pinnell.</p> <p>He informed the Group that a feasibility study had been undertaken into all aspects concerning the preparation for Beckenham to become a Business Improvement District (BID). It was explained that BIDS were business led organisations that would seek to bring in new investment and business into the local economy. BIDS had already been established in Orpington and Bromley. It was anticipated that the Beckenham BID levy that would be raised would be in the region of £145K. It was the case that BIDS had been established in 200 locations nationwide.</p> <p>Mr Pinnell explained that going forward it was planned that a Working Group be set up to develop the BID; it was anticipated that the first meeting would take place in a month's time.</p>
<p>77</p>	<p>BECKENHAM SOCIETY REVIEW REPORT BASED ON DRAWINGS PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTOR</p>
	<p>Members noted the Beckenham Society Review report.</p> <p>The report had been written by the Beckenham Society to review the Beckenham High Street Improvement Proposals, based on the contractor's drawings.</p> <p>The report highlighted the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carriageways and Traffic Flows • Footways • Pedestrian Safety • Highway Lighting • On Street Parking • Tree Planting • Kelsey Square

78	TOWN TEAM UPDATE
	Chloe Jane Ross stated that there was really nothing to report on this occasion other than that the Alleyway Project had been completed.
79	DAVID BOWIE MEMORIAL UPDATE
	<p>The David Bowie update was brought by Dan Pearce (local artist) and Chloe Jane Ross.</p> <p>The Group heard that an excellent design was being prepared, which would be different, and would not focus on Bowie's face. It would be an image of a younger Bowie living in Beckenham. The aim would be to give the impression of movement, and would reflect the themes that Bowie sang about in the 'Life on Mars' record. It was still the case that the mural would be located on the side of Patricks Wine Bar.</p> <p>The Chairman asked if 'crowd funding' would be sought, and Mr Pearce replied that this was possible. It was important to develop 'PR', and a website would need to be developed. Locals would be contacted for information, as would the Bowie Estate. The Group supported the project unanimously.</p> <p>The Chairman mentioned that Section 106 monies may be available to assist with funding the project.</p>
80	TERMS OF REFERENCE
	<p>It was agreed that the Terms of Reference be discussed in depth at the next meeting, and so should be added as an agenda item for the next meeting.</p> <p>Members were invited to consider matters that could be incorporated into revised terms of reference, and to make suggestions concerning this at the next meeting.</p>
81	OTHER BUSINESS
	It was agreed that an item concerning 'plaques' be added to the next agenda.
82	DATE OF NEXT MEETING
	The date of the next meeting was confirmed as 27 th October 2016 at 7.30pm.

	This would be held at the usual location which would be Beckenham Library.
--	--

The Meeting ended at 9.30 pm

This page is left intentionally blank

Report No.
CSD16159

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

Decision Maker: Beckenham Town Centre Working Group

Date: 27th October 2016

Decision Type: Non Urgent Non Executive Non Key

Title: **MATTERS ARISING**

Contact Officer: Steve Wood, Democratic Services Officer
Tel: 020 8313 4316 E-mail: stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Mark Bowen, Director of Corporate Services

Ward: N/A

1. Reason for report

1.1 **Appendix A** updates Members on matters arising from previous meetings.

2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 The Group is asked to review progress on matters arising from previous meetings.

Non-Applicable Sections:	Policy/Financial/Legal/Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Minutes and Action Points from the previous meeting

Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy
 2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council/Quality Environment, Thriving Town Centres,
-

Financial

1. Cost of proposal: No Cost to Democratic Services
 2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable
 3. Budget head/performance centre: Democratic Services
 4. Total current budget for this head: £335,590
 5. Source of funding: 2016/17 revenue budget
-

Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 8 posts (7.27fte)
 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Completion of "Matters Arising" Reports for PP&S PDS meetings (and their sub groups) can take up to a few hours per meeting.
-

Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None
 2. Call-in: Not Applicable
-

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): This report is intended primarily for Members of the Beckenham Town Centre Working Group
-

Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

<u>Minute Number/Title</u>	<u>Matters Arising</u>	<u>Update</u>
Minute 72 15th September 2016 Matters Arising	It was resolved that the Chairman make representations to the Portfolio Holder concerning the location of the Purple Flag	Awaiting update
Minute 73 15th September 2016 Major Scheme Update (Bus Countdown Units)	It was resolved that enquiries be made to TfL to see if a 'countdown unit' could be installed at the new bus shelter	Three countdown information units were included in the original plan. However TfL are not installing any new units. Existing units will be maintained. Boroughs can pay for new units but at a cost of £8,300 per unit which includes a 10 year maintenance agreement. So it is probably the case that new units will only be installed subject to a budget being available. The matter is currently under consideration.
Minute 73 15th September 2016 Major Scheme Update (Loading Bays)	Resolved that checks to be made to ensure that an adequate number of loading bays be incorporated into the scheme.	The number and location of loading bays was originally approved by Chris Cole. The Major Scheme increases the number of loading bays from 1 to 3. The possible introduction of a loading bay outside of WH Smith (as requested) is being investigated. It should be noted that more loading bays would cause a reduction in Parking Spaces.
Minute 73 15th September 2016 Major Scheme Update War Memorial	Consideration was to be given concerning what would replace the wall on the War Memorial Roundabout if it was removed.	<p>The boundary wall of the roundabout forms part of the curtilage of the listed war memorial and it is considered that its demolition would require Listed Building Consent for its replacement or demolition. The Councils Conservation officer considers that it is in keeping with the main structure, particularly in scale and with the coping stone detailing. It therefore contributes to the character of this part of the Beckenham Town Centre Conservation Area and the overall setting of the war memorial. In addition in view of what happened at the Shortlands War Memorial, a structure providing protection is also considered necessary. Informal advice from the Conservation Officer is that he would favour its retention.</p> <p>The Council's Highways Department have pointed out that a boundary of some form would be required around the roundabout to deter illegal parking. A low rail would do this but would certainly be less durable if hit by vehicles.</p>

This page is left intentionally blank

Beckenham Town Centre Working Group--Terms of Reference.

Note from the Chairman

It is some time since we reviewed the Working Group's objectives.

Some regular members of the Working Group have dropped out since we were set up by R&R and several new attendees come regularly. As Chairman I want the Working Group to stay focused on its objectives and suggest amendments where this has become appropriate in the passage of time.

The Terms of Reference

The ToR of the original working group started in 2009 were:

“To identify and scrutinise factors which particularly affect the economic sustainability of Beckenham and West Wickham Town Centres and suggest costed action proposals.”

When the working group was re-convened by R&R PDS in 2012, the ToR were:

“To review the OLF Round 2 proposals for Beckenham Town Centre and to recommend new proposals for the Town Centre to form the basis of an area based bid to TfL in 2012.”

In early 2014 the ToR agreed by R&R PDS were as follows (and this has not been altered):

“To provide design oversight and strategic guidance during the initial scheme design stage of Beckenham Town Centre.”

Because this refers just to the initial design phase, it may need updating to something like:

“To provide oversight and strategic guidance on the design and implementation of the improvement scheme for Beckenham town centre.”

Recommendation

Members are invited to make suggestions contributing to the formulation of a revised Terms of Reference.

This page is left intentionally blank